

International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review

ISSN: 2347-3215 Volume 4 Number 8 (August-2016) pp. 158-170 Journal home page: http://www.ijcrar.com doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2016.408.013



Environmental Geochemistry of Uranium in Recent Sediments of Alkhasa Valley in Kirkuk City / Northern Iraq

Marwan Ahmed Salih Albabouri^{1*} and Hassan Ahmed Ali Aljumaily²

Geology Department/ College of Science/ Kirkuk University, Iraq

*Corresponding author

KEYWORDS

Uranium,

Sediments of

	A	B	S	Т	R	A	С	Т	
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Recent sediment serves as a major reservoir for contaminants as it possesses an ability to bind various chemicals together. To safeguard Alkhasa Valley, the members of the public from an unwanted exposure, studies were Geochemistry. conducted on the sediments of alkhasa valley where this valley play a very important role in agriculture of Kirkuk -Iraq. The average of uranium found in present study is (1.33 ppm) with range (1-1.6 ppm), the maximum concentration of uranium is (1.6 ppm) in last station (KSD7) and the minimum in first station (KSD1) with value (1 ppm). The results indicated safe levels of U activity in all stations.

Introduction

The Sediments may provide clues to the trace elemental concentrations of natural and anthropogenic contaminants because its important carriers of elements in the hydrological cycle (Ruiz-Fernández et al., 2007). Uranium (U) is one of the elements present in sediments, soils, aqueous, plants, food and living organisms in trace quantities and the mean concentration in soil is (3ppm) (Ricardo et al., 2009; Bleise et al., 2003). The primary chemical effect of U in humans is Nephritis (kidney) (Hursh & Spoor, 1973). The enriched U is more radioactive and the depleted U is less radioactive than natural U (Weigel, 1983).

The source of U in environment are leaching from natural deposits, release in mill tailings, emissions from nuclear industry and the combustion of coal and other fuels (Dresenet al. 1982: Cothern and Lappenbusch 1983, Essien et al., 1985, Tadmor 1986). however the soil contamination with U is due to addition of phosphate fertilizers (Träber et al., 2015). On the other hand, large amounts of U contents are produced by the modern industry: metallurgy, oil refinery, nuclear industry, nuclear weapon tests, the use of U ammunition, ore mining, phosphogypsum waste heap as well as the manufacture and processing of fuel rods (Boryło, 2012). The

sources of mine water cintamination with U, are process water from U-recovery plants, pumped water from underground mine workings that has been in contact with uraniferous ore, surplus tailings water from return water dams and storm water run-off from areas with contaminated materials such as ore piles, dumps and tailings (Funke, 1990; Pulles *et al.*, 1996; Wendel, 1998).The concentration and activity of U in the environment depend on the geological features of the area, weather conditions, human, economic and industrial activities (Jwanbot *et al.*, 2012).

Uranium occurs in nature in +3, +4, +5, and +6 oxidation states, however, the +4 and +6are very abundant (Zhang et al., 2002). There are three isotopes for U with percentages by mole fraction and half-lives of²³⁴U 0.0054% (245,500 Years), ^{235}U 0.72% (704,000,000 Years) and ²³⁸U 99.27 % (4,468,000,000 Years) (Berglund and Wieser, 2011; Träber et al., 2015). This element has a very high density (18.95 g $/cm^3$, 1.7 times higher than lead density of 11.35 g $/cm^3$). The Metallic U has a high boiling point (4131 $^{\circ}C$) and melting point (1132 $^{\circ}C$), has a tensile strength similar to most steels and it is chemically very reactive (Zou et al., 2011).

Generally, U is found as oxides in the earth's crustsuch as uranium dioxide (UO_2) or triuraniumoctaoxide (U_3O_8) while the pitchblende mineral is the main U ore, consists primarily of uranium oxides. U is primarily (80-90%) present in the +6 oxidation state as the uranylcation (UO_2^{+2}) Speciation of uranium in soil (Ebbs *et al.*, 1998).

The U contents and its mobility in aqueous systems is mainly controlled by the pH, alkalinity, the oxidation reduction potential and the type of complexing agents present, such as carbonates, phosphates, vanadates, fluorides, sulfates and silicates, etc. (Langmuir, 1997).

The behavior of Uin soil dependupon adsorption, while the adsorption of Uin clay is more than in both silt and sand respectively. The mobility of Uis reverse and its concentration in soil increases with decreasing sand content and increasing clay content due to the adsorption on the surface of particles rather than ionic-type or intrafoliar retention (Birke et al., 2009; Bird and Evenden, 1996). The adsorption and transport of U to sediments allows for accumulation in stream sediments (Brierly, 1981). Retention of Uinsuspension stream sediment decreases as oxidant and alkaline conditions increase while its retention decrease by U highly found as Carbonate due to an increase in the solubility of the element (dominant carbonated uranyl complexes) (Bird and Evenden, 1996) where the transport of U-bearing tailings particles by wind and water erosion and the waterborne transport of dissolved Uranium are the main mechanisms of contaminated stream sediment by U (Winde and Sandham, 2004).

The impact of fertilizer-derived uranium on soils and water is likely to be dependent on several factors, including soil properties (pH, moisture content, mineralogy and texture), fertilizer composition, and water chemical content, therefore, likely to be spatially variable (Zielinski et al., 1997). The aims of this study is to determine the U content in sediment samples collected throughout alkhasa valley for recent sediments Kirkuk-Iraq of using the Inductivelv coupled plasma – Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) in order to evaluate the contamination level of U in the study area.

Materials and Methods

Description of study area

The study area, Kirkuk city (the geographical position being $(N44^{\circ}43'00''-44^{\circ}32'00'')$ and (E 35°50'00''-35°38'00'') is located in Northern Iraq (Fig.1).The altitude above sea level is (367 m), the area of Kirkuk Government equal around (9676 km²) and represent the ratio about 2.2% of Iraq.

Sampling Sites and Chemical analyses

The Recent Sediment samples were collected in November-2015 using an auger technique, it were collected at one depth between (0-10 cm) and the samples represented by symbols, (KSD1-KSD7).

The samples were oven-dried, pulverized and submitted to a screening process using 200 mesh sieves. The powder material of Recent Sediment samples was stored in plastic sacks. The concentration of Uranium in Recent sediment was determined by employed assayed by the ICP-MS techniques.

Statistical Analysis

Correlation analysis results

The data obtained from analytical methods were treated statistically using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) (version 22 for windows). Descriptive data analysis was performed, including the calculation of mean, SD,the concentration range of U. Pearson correlation matrix was performed to identify the relationship between the trace metals.

The result of correlation for recent sediment in Kirkuk city (table 2) and (appendix1) are summarized in (table 1)

The very high positive correlation between Uwith (Ta and K₂O) byr value (0.904 and 0.896) respectively, then high positive correlation of Uwith (TiO₂, Y and Nb) byr value (0.822, 0.793 and 0.753) respectively and The good correlation of U with Na₂Owith value (0.673) (figure 2) because according to Goldschmidtthis elementsare found in one group (Lithophile elements)of classification elements (Goldschmidt, 1954) while the good correlation of Uwith (p and P₂O₅) by value (0.74 and 0.68) respectively because one of the main sources of Uare from fertilizers that's have high values of phosphor (Träber et al., 2015). The good negative correlation of U with (Ni and Co) by value (-0.79 and -0.783) respectively because the source of this element is with source of U in the different environments.

Result and Discussion

Environmental geochemistry of uranium in Recent Sediment

The recent sediment in Kirkuk city are found inalkhasa valley from north to south of Kirkuk, it's one of the environments rich by the toxic element, one of this element is U, there are two type of pollutant source (natural) resulting from source rock and anthropogenic source from manufactory, waste water of house, air precipitation, burial of waste and from agriculture land (Jianshu *et al.*, 2014).

Elevated concentration of uranium can be related to U milling and mining sites (Morrison and Cahn 1991), nuclear fuel and nuclear weapons production sites (Riley *et al.*, 1992), combustion of coal and oil, in particular, when no proper combustions gas cleaning systems are installed, and the application of phosphate fertilizers (Barisic *et al.*, 1992; Zielinski *et al.*, 2006) The present study of pollution show the concentration of uranium in recent sediment, the average concentration of uranium in alkhasa valley is (1.33 ppm) with range (1-1.6 ppm) and stander deviation (0.35)(table3 and figure3), the maximum concentration of uranium is (1.6 ppm) in last station (Near industrial quarter) because its station the last point of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk city that's mean after pollution and concentrate the pollutant of city and the pollutant of industrial quarter put in this valley as well as the waste water from hoses (Schnug, 2005; Wendel, 1998) whileaddition of waste water to agriculture land lead to increase value of U in this environment. The minimum concentration of uranium in first station (Near bridge Rahim Iaoh) in value (1 ppm) because its station before basing alkhasa valley from Kirkuk city that's mean before putting the waste tailing and other pollutants (pollution) (Jwanbot et al., 2012; Wendel, 1998).

Indices of Pollution

In general, soil and recent sediment considered contamination may be appreciable when concentrations of an element in soils were two-three times greater than themean background levels (Logan and Miller, 1983). Metal pollution in soil poses a serious threat to the human health and safety of agricultural products. Evaluation for distribution and remediation of heavy metal pollution is the most concerned (Deng Hong-gui et al., 2012). In present study, the geo accumulation index (I_{geo}), contamination factor (CF) and enrichment factor (EF) was applied to assess U contamination in Recent sediments located within Kirkuk city.

Index of Geo-Accumulation(I_{geo})

The geo-accumulation index (Igeo) was used to assess U pollution in Recent

sediment of Kirkuk city. It is expressed by the following equation (Müller, 1969):

$$Igeo = log2\left(\frac{Cm}{1.5 Bn}\right) \dots \dots \dots \dots 1$$

where Cm = measuredtotal concentration of metals (U) (n) in soils and Recent sediment ($\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$); Bn= geochemical background values of metals (U) (n)($\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$) (Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961) the average of uranium in earth's crust is (1.7 ppm); 1.5 = the background matrix correction factor due to lithogenic effects (Loska *et al.*, 1997; Gonzáles-Macías *et al.*, 2006; Chen *et al*, 2007).The I_{geo} scale consists of seven grades (0-6) ranging from uncontaminated to very highly contaminated (Table 4).

The results of the I_{geo} of the U investigated in this present study are presented in (Table7) also Show the type of Description of Recent Sediment is class one (Uncontaminated moderately to contaminated) for all samples for Recent Sediment of alkhasa valley by U pollution but respectively along to alkhasa valley from North to south of Kirkuk city to industrial quarter (last station study) where showing high grade of pollution.

Contamination Factor (Cf)

The assessment of soil and Recent Sediment contamination was carried out using the contamination factor. A contamination was described the contamination of a given toxic substance in a basin by (Hakanson, 1980). Contamination factor (Cf) is ratio of the concentration of the element (U) in samples to pre-industrial reference value for the element (Uranium). It is expressed by the following equation

$$Cf = \left(\frac{Cm \ Sample}{Cm \ background}\right) \dots \dots \dots 2$$

Where the C_m sample is the concentration of metal (U) in present study and C_m background is the average background of metal (U) in earth's crust according to (Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961) the average of uranium in earth's crust is (1.7 ppm).The *Cf* scale consists of Four grades ranging from low contamination to very highly contaminated (Table 5).

The results of the Contamination Factor of the Uranium investigated in this present study are presented in (Table 7) describe the degree of contamination in Recent Sediment of alkhasa valley is (CF < 1) (low contamination) unless in near industrial quarter is in class ($1 \le CF < 3$) with discretion (Moderate) its station the last point of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk city that's mean after pollution and concentrate of the pollutant of city and the pollutant of industrial quarter put in this valley as well as the waste water from hoses and founding the waste tailing and other pollutants (Schnug, 2005; Wendel, 1998; Jwanbot *et al.*, 2012).

Enrichment Factor (EF)

The enrichment factor is the relative abundance of a chemical element in a soil compared to the bedrock (Hernandez *et al.*, 2003) which is a powerful tool to distinguish between anthropogenic and naturally occurring sources of heavy metals. EF technique is used in the area of soil, sediments, solid wastes and atmospheric aerosols to determine the degree of modification in the composition (Pekey, 2006).

	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	TiO ₂	P ₂ O ₅
U	0.256	0.398	- 0.01	-0.321	0.31	0.673 *	0.876**	0.822*	0.896 **
	MnO	Cr	OM	PH	L.O.I	Мо	Cu	Pb	Zn
U	0.125	-0.179	-0.022	0.083	0.025	-0.16	-0.054	0.540	0.625
	Со	Ni	As	Te	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	V
U	-0.783*	-0.79*	0.417	-0.055	0.379	0.365	0.548	0.490	-0.101
	Р	La	Ba	W	Zr	Ce	Sn	Y	Nb
U	0.862*	0.666	0.135	0.471	0.494	0.143	0.218	0.793*	0.753*
	Rb	Sc	Li	Та	Hf				
U	0.382	-0.091	-0.169	0.904**	0.49				

Table.1 Pearson correlation matrix showing (U and elements) relationship for AlkhasaRecentsediment of Kirkuk city-northern Iraq (n = 7)

****.** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

Elements	Sample	es of Khasa Sed	iment	Elements	Samples of Khasa Sediment			
	Mean	Range	S.D	_	Mean	Range	S.D	
U ppm	1.27	1 - 1.6	0.21	Th ppm	5.29	4.9 - 5.6	0.24	
Sio ₂ %	42.12	40.13 - 43.45	1.10	Sr ppm	308.43	250 - 360	42.84	
Al ₂ 0 ₃ %	9.03	8.33 - 9.54	0.57	Cd ppm	0.34	0.2 - 0.5	0.10	
Fe ₂ o ₃ %	4.81	4.14 - 5.2	0.41	Sb ppm	0.69	0.5 - 0.8	0.11	
Mgo %	3.95	3.04 - 4.84	0.60	V ppm	93.71	91 - 97	1.98	
Cao %	20.14	18.98 - 21.42	0.96	P ppm	0.06	0.043 - 0.065	0.01	
Na ₂ 0 %	0.51	0.31 - 0.88	0.20	La ppm	20.36	19.4 - 21.7	0.77	
K20 %	1.54	1.38 - 1.76	0.13	Cr ppm	223.86	183 - 246	21.43	
Tio ₂ %	0.6	0.56 - 0.66	0.04	Ba ppm	277.29	255 - 301	18.26	
P ₂ 0 ₅ %	0.16	0.13 - 0.18	0.02	W ppm	0.73	0.6 - 0.8	0.076	
Mno %	0.10	0.1 - 0.11	0.01	Zr ppm	44.73	36.8 - 51.6	4.44	
OM %	3.58	2.98 - 5.63	0.92	Ce ppm	40.57	38 - 43	1.72	
PH	7.37	7.17 - 7.56	0.14	Sn ppm	1.97	1.3 - 3.6	0.80	
Loi %	21.23	20.1 - 22.1	0.80	Y ppm	15.47	14.7 - 16.2	0.62	
Mo ppm	1.04	0.8 - 1.4	0.25	Nbppm	8.11	7.5 - 8.5	0.32	
Cu ppm	31.13	24.6 - 54.8	10.68	Ta ppm	0.46	0.3 - 0.6	0.11	
Pb ppm	16.47	10.4 - 22.1	4.90	Scppm	10.71	10 - 11	0.49	
Zn ppm	69.29	60 - 85	9.55	Li ppm	27.4	25.5 - 28.6	1.20	
Ni ppm	133.49	123.6 - 145.6	6.73	Rbppm	48.94	46.1 - 52.4	1.98	
Co ppm	18.16	17.2 - 19.9	0.97	Hfppm	1.47	1.1 - 1.7	0.20	
As ppm	7.43	7 - 8	0.53	Террт	1.93	1.5 - 2.4	0.35	

Table.2 average and range as well as standard deviation of uranium and other elements in recent sediment of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk-Iraq.

Table.3 concentration of (U) in recent sediment of alkhasa valley of Kirkuk city-northern Iraq.

Names of sites	Symbol	U ppm	
Near bridge Raheem Awe	KSD1	1	
Near bridge alqala	KSD2	1.1	
Near bridge alweladah	KSD3	1.2	
Near bridge Girnata	KSD4	1.5	
Near the forth bridge	KSD5	1.2	
Neare bridge domez	KSD6	1.3	
Near industrial quarter	KSD7	1.6	
Mean	1.33		
Range	0.8 - 1.8		
S.D.	0.35		

Geo-accumulation Index (I _{geo})							
Value Class Description							
Igeo = 0	0	Uncontaminated					
$0 < Igeo \le 1$	1	Uncontaminated to moderately contaminated					
$1 < Igeo \le 2$	2	Moderately contaminated					
$2 < Igeo \le 3$	3	Moderately to strongly contaminated					
$3 < Igeo \le 4$	4	strongly contaminated					
$4 < Igeo \le 5$	5	Strongly to extremely contaminated					
Igeo> 5	6	Extremely contaminated					

Table.4 classification of Geo-accumulation index (Müller, 1969)

Table.5 Classification of contamination factor (Hakanson, 1980).

Contamination Factors (CF)						
CF classes	CF Description					
CF < 1	low contamination					
$1 \leq CF < 3$	Moderate					
$3 \leq CF < 6$	Considerable					
$CF \ge 6$	Very high					

Table.6 classification of Enrichment Factor (Mmolawa et al., 2011)

Enrichment Factors (EF)							
EF classes	EF Description						
<i>EF</i> < 2	Deficiently to minimal enrichment						
$2 \leq EF < 5$	Moderate enrichment						
$5 \leq EF < 20$	Significant enrichment						
$20 \leq EF < 40$	Very high enrichment						
$EF \ge 40$	Extremely high enrichment						

Table.7 The results of the Igeo, Cf and Ef in Recent study for Recent Sediments for alkasa valley Kirkuk city-northern Iraq.

Name of Site	symbole	U ppm	Igeo	CF	Sc ppm	Ef
Near bridge Raheem Awe	KSD1	1	0.118	0.588	14.8	0.855
Near bridge alqala	KSD2	1.1	0.129	0.647	14.4	1.035
Near bridge alweladah	KSD3	1.2	0.141	0.705	14.3	1.026
Near bridge Girnata	KSD4	1.5	0.177	0.882	13.2	1.411
Near the forth bridge	KSD5	1.2	0.141	0.705	14.8	1.026
Neare bridge domez	KSD6	1.3	0.153	0.764	14.2	1.112
Near industrial quarter	KSD7	1.7	0.200	0.941	13.1	1.454
Mean	М.	1.271	0.150	0.747	14.11	1.116

Samples Elements	KS1	KS2	KS3	KS4	KS5	KS6	KS7
Uppm	1	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.6
Sio2 %	42.55	42.78	41.45	42.72	41.74	40.13	43.45
Al203 %	9.54	8.42	8.33	9.44	8.54	9.54	9.43
Fe2o3 %	4.3	4.88	5.05	4.14	5.2	5.09	4.98
Mg0 %	4.84	3.04	4.21	3.32	4.04	4.26	3.94
	19.41	18.98	20.53	19.11	20.66	21.42	20.9
Na20 %	0.31	0.62	0.38	0.57	0.48	0.31	0.88
K20 %	1.38	1.4	1.54	1.55	1.56	1.57	1.76
Tio2 %	0.56	0.57	0.59	0.6	0.58	0.64	0.66
P205 %	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.18
Mno %	0.1	0.11	0.1	0.1	0.11	0.1	0.11
Om %	3.12	3.23	5.63	3.56	2.98	3.21	3.3
PH	7.36	7.56	7.17	7.3	7.26	7.44	7.48
Loi %	20.3	21.6	21.7	22.1	20.9	21.9	20.1
Moppm	0.8	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.4	1.3	0.8
Cuppm	26.4	24.8	27.1	24.6	54.8	29.6	30.6
Pbppm	10.4	12.2	13.8	14.3	22.1	21.2	21.3
Znppm	60	62	71	62	66	79	85
Nippm	145.6	135.5	134.7	132.7	128.9	133.4	123.6
Coppm	19.9	18.2	17.4	17.3	18.8	18.3	17.2
Asppm	7	7	8	7	7	8	8
Thppm	4.9	5.2	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.1	5.4
Srppm	250	298	311	262	360	319	359
Cdppm	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
Sbppm	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8
Vppm	94	92	93	91	95	97	94
Р %	0.043	0.052	0.058	0.06	0.059	0.058	0.065
Lappm	19.4	20.3	20.7	20.4	20.5	19.5	21.7
Crppm	246	235	210	222	234	183	237
Bappm	275	255	287	256	301	271	296
Wppm	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8
Zrppm	36.8	46.3	43.8	44.7	43.4	51.6	46.5
Серрт	40	41	42	39	41	38	43
Snppm	1.4	1.3	1.7	1.6	3.6	1.8	2.4
Yppm	14.7	14.9	15.7	16.1	15.8	14.9	16.2
Nbppm	7.5	8	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.1	8.5
Tappm	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.6
Scppm	11	10	11	10	11	11	11
Lippm	28.4	27	26.4	25.5	28.6	27.3	28.6
Rbppm	46.1	47.3	52.4	48.9	49.5	48.8	49.6
Hfppm	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.6
Teppm	1.8	2.4	1.5	2.3	1.8	2.1	1.6

Appendix.1 Concentration of elements in alkhasa valley Kirkuk city-Iraq





Fig.2 Chart showing the high correlation of U with other elements







The enrichment factor was calculated using the formula originally introduced by (Buat-Menard and Chesselet, 1979) as shown in the following equation:

Where the Cn sample) is the concentration of the examined chemical element (U) in the examined environment, Cref (sample) is the concentration of the examined chemical element (U) in the reference environment according to (Turekian and Wedepohl) equal to (1.7 ppm), Bnis the concentration of the reference chemical element (Scandium) in the examined environment (Bargagli et al., 1995; Bergamaschi et al., 2005; Bhuiyan et al., 2011) (table.6) and Bref is the concentration of the reference element (Scandium) in the reference environment according to (Turekian and Wedepohl) equal to (16 ppm). The Ef scale consists of Five grades ranging from Deficiently to minimal enrichment to Extremely high enrichment (Table 6).

The results of the Enrichment Factor of the Uranium investigated in this present study of Recent sediment of alkhasa Valley are presented in (Table 7) for all samples are in class EF < 2 is Deficiently to minimal enrichment.

Conclusions

The high grade of pollution with Uranium for recent sediment of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk city found Near industrial quarter (1.6 ppm) because its position the last point of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk city that's mean after pollution and concentrate of the pollutant of city and the pollutant of industrial quarter put in this valley as well as the waste water from houses and urban environment. The indices of pollution that's used are (I_{geo} , Cf and Ef) where these indices show the grade of pollution by uranium along of alkhasa valley in Kirkuk city where also show the high grade in the last station (Near industrial quarter) in same reason.

References

Bargagli, R., Brown, D.H. and Nelli, L. 1995. Metal biomonitoring with mosses: Procedures for correcting for soil contamination. *Environ. Pollut.*, 89: 169-175.

- Barisic, D., Lulic, S., Miletic, P. 1992. Radium and uranium in phosphate fertilizers and their impact on the radioactivity of waters. *Water Res.*, 26(5): 607–611.
- Bergamaschi, L., Rizzio, E., Giaveri, G., Giordani, L., Profumo, A. and Gallorini, M. 2005. INAA for the determination of trace elements and evaluation of their enrichment factors in lichens of high altitude areas. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 263(3), 721-724.
- Berglund, M., Wieser, M.E. 2011. Isotopic compositions of the elements 2009 (IUPAC technical report). *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 83: 397–410.
- Bhuiyan, M.A., Suruvi, N.I., Dampare, S.B., Islam, M.A., Quraishi, S.B., Ganyaglo, S., Suzuki, S. 2011.
 Investigation of the possible sources of heavy metal contamination in lagoon and canal water in the tannery industrial area in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Environ. Monit. Assess*, 175: 633–649.
- Bird, G.A., Evenden, W.G. 1996. Transfer of 60Co, 65Zn, 95Tc, 134Cs and 238U from water to organic sediment. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut,* 86: 251-61.
- Birke, M., Rauch, U., Lorenz, H. 2009. Uranium in stream and mineral water of the Federal Republic of Germany. *Environ. Geochem. Health*, 31:693– 706. DOI 10.1007/s10653-009-9247-4
- Bleise, A., Danesi, P.R., Burkart, W. 2003. Properties, use and health effects of depleted uranium (DU): a general overview. J. Environ. Radioact., 64: 93–112.
- Boryło. 2012. Determination of uranium isotopes in environmental samples. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 295: 621–631.

- Brierly, C.L., Brierly, J.A.1981.
 Contamination of ground and surface waters due to, uranium mining and milling, Volume 1: U.S. Bureau of Mines, Washington D.C., 102 p.
- Buat-Menard, P., R. Chesselet. 1979. Variable influence of atmospheric flux on the trace metal chemistry of oceanic suspended matter, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, No.42, 398–411.
- Chen, C. W., Kao, C.M., Chen, C. F., & Dong, C. D. 2007. Distribution and accumulation of heavy metals in the sediments of Kaohsiung Harbor, Taiwan. *Chemosphere*, 66(8), 1431– 1440.
- Cothern, C.R. and Lappenbusch, W.L. 1983. Occurrence of uranium in drinking water in the US. *Health. Phys.*, 45: 89-99.
- Deng Hong-gui, GuTeng-feng, Li Ming-hui, and Deng Xu, 2012. Comprehensive Assessment Model on Heavy Metal Pollution in Soil, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, (7) 5286 – 5296pp.
- Dresen, D.R., Williams, J.M., Marple, M.L., Gladney, E.S., Perrin, D.R. 1982. Mobility and bio-availability of uranium mill tailings constituents. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 16: 702-709.
- Ebbs, S.D., D.J. Brady and L.V. Kochian. 1998. Role of uranium speciation in the uptake and translocation of uranium by plants. *J. Experimental Bot.*, 49(324):1183-1190.
- Essien, I.O., Sandoval, D.N., Kuroda, P.K. 1985. Deposition of excess amount of natural U from the atmosphere. *Health. Phys.*, 48: 325-331.
- Funke, J.W. 1990. The water requirements and pollution potential of South African gold and uranium mines. WRC-report No. KV 9/90, Pretoria. 172pp.

- Goldschmidt, V.M. 1954. geochemistry. Clarendon oxford. P 730.
- Gonzáles-Macías, C., Schifter, I., Lluch-Cota, D. B., Méndez-Rodríguez, L., & Hernández-Vázquez, S. 2006). Distribution, enrichment and accumulation of heavy metals in coastal sediments of Salina Cruz Bay, Mexico. *Environ. Monitoring and Assessment*, 118, 211–230.
- Hakanson, L. 1980. Ecological risk index for aquatic pollution control, a sedimetological approach. *Water Res.*, 14: 975-1001. doi: 10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8
- Hernandez, L., Probst A., Probst J. L. and Ulrich E. 2003. Heavy Metal Distribution in Some French Forest Soils: Evidence for Atmosphere Contamination. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 312, 195-210 pp.
- Hursh, J.B., Spoor, N.L. 1973. Data on man. In: Hodge HC *et al.*, eds. Handbook of experimental pharmacology. Vol. 36. Uranium, plutonium, transplutonic elements. Berlin, Springer-Verlag, pp. 197–240.
- Jianshu, Lv, Zulu Zhang, Shuang Li, Yang Liu, Yuanyuan Sun and Bin Dai .2014. Assessing spatial distribution, sources, and potential ecological risk of heavy metals in surface sediments of the Nansi Lake, Eastern China. J. *Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 299:1671– 1681.
- Jwanbot, D.I., M.M. Izam, G.G. Nyam and N.H. John. 2012. investigation of the Uraniumcontent in some soil samples from Barkin LadiLga, PlateauState-Nigeria. J. Natural Sci. Res., Vol.2, No.8 p 61-68.
- Langmuir, D .1997. Aqueous environmental geochemistry. New Jersey7, Prentice Hall.
- Logan, T.J., and Miller R. H. 1983. Background levels of heavy metals in

Ohio farm soils. Soil contamination analysis. *Res. Circ. Ohio Agric. Res. Dev. Ctr. Wooster*, 275:3-15.

- Loska, K., Cebula, J., Pelczar, J., Wiechula, D. andKwapulinski, J. 1997. Use of enrichment, and contamination factors together with geoaccumulation indexes to evaluate the content of Cd, Cu, and Ni in the Bybnik water reservoir in Poland. *Water, Air and Soil Pollu.*, 93, 347–365.
- Morrison, S.J. and Cahn, L.S. 1991. Mineralogical residence of alphaemitting contamination and implications for mobilization from uranium mill tailings. J. Contam. Hydrol., 8(1):1–21
- Müller, G. 1969. Index of geoaccomulation in sediments of the Phine River. *Geol. J.*, vol.2, pp. 109-118.
- Pekey, H. 2006. The distribution and sources of heavy metals in İzmit Bay surface sediments affected by a polluted stream. *Marine Pollu. Bull.*, 52: 1197-1208.
- Pulles, W., Heath, R. and Howard, M. 1996.
 A manual to assess and manage the impact of gold mining operations on the surface water environment. Data collection & assessment, water & salt balances, impact assessment, management strategies. Report to the CSIR, Division of Water Technology. WRC Report No TT 79/96. Pretoria (ISBN 1 86845 251–254).
- Ricardo, A.M,. Serafim, Bianca, A.M. Corrêa, Yone, M. Yamazaki, M.C. Primi and Luiz P. Geraldo. 2009.investigation of the uranium content in sediment and soil sample from the santos and saovicente estuary region, Sp. INAC 2009, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. P 7.
- Ruiz-Fernández, A.C., Hillaire-Marcel, C, Páez-Osuna F, Ghaleb B, Caballero M .2007. 210Pb chronology and trace

metal geochemistry at Los Tuxtlas, Mexico, as evidenced by a sedimentary record from the Lago Verde crater lake. *Quaternary Res.*, 67:181–192.

- Schnug, E. 2005. Uran: Boden Pflanze Nahrungskette. In BfRStatusseminar: UranalsSchwermetall in Lebens- und Futtermitteln – Uranalsradioaktives Element. Berlin: BfR
- Tadmor, J. 1986. Atmospheric release of volatilised species of radioelements from coal-fired plants. *Health. Phys.*, 50: 270-273.
- Träber, S.C., W.B. Li, V. Höllriegl, K. Nebelung, B., Michalke, W. Rühm and U. Oeh. 2015. Calculation of internal dose from ingested soilderived uranium in humans: Application of a new method. Radiat. Environ. Biophys., 54:265–272
- Turekian, K.K. and Wedepohl, D.H. 1961.
 Distribution of the elements in some major units of the earth's crust.
 Bulletin Geological Society of America, 72: 175-192. doi: 10.1130/0016-

7606(1961)72[175:DOTEIS]2.0.CO;2

- Weigel, F. 1983. Uranium and uranium compounds. In M. Grayson (ed.). Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Vol. 23, 3rd Ed. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons. pp. 502-547.
- Wendel, G. 1998. Radioactivity in mines and mine water – sources and

mechanisms. J. South African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. 87–92.

- Winde, F. & L. A. Sandham. 2004. Uranium pollution of South African streams – An overview of the situation in gold mining areas of the Witwatersrand. *Geo J.*, 61: 131–149.
- Zhang, P.C., Krumhansl, J.L. and Brady, P.V. 2002. Introduction to properties, sources and characteristics of soil radionuclides. Chapter 1 in Geochemistry of Soil Radionuclides, edited by Zhang, P.-C., and Brady, P.V., SSSA Special Publication No. 59, pp. 1–20.
- Zielinski, R.A., Asher-Bolinder, S., Meier, A.L., Johnson, C.A., & Szabo, B.J. 1997. Natural or fertilizer-derived uranium in irrigation drainage: A case study in southeastern Colorado, USA. *Appl. Geochem.*, 12: 9–21. doi:10.1016/S0883-2927(96)00050-9.
- Zielinski, R.A., Orem, W.H., Simmons, K.R., Bohlen, P.J. 2006. Fertilizerderived uranium and sulfur in rangeland soil and runoff: a case study in central Florida. Water Air Soil Pollut 176(1–4):163–183 934 *Environ*. *Earth Sci.*, 63: 925–934.
- Zou, W., Bai, H., Zhao, L., Li, K., Han, R. 2011. Characterization and properties of zeolite as adsorbent for removal of uranium (VI(from solution in fixed bed column. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., 288: 779–788.

How to cite this article:

Marwan Ahmed Salih Albabouri and Hassan Ahmed Ali Aljumaily. 2016. Environmental Geochemistry of Uranium in Recent Sediments of Alkhasa Valley in Kirkuk City / Northern Iraq. *Int.J.Curr.Res.Aca.Rev*.4(8): xx-xx. doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcrar.2016.408.013</u>